

## Improvement for correct procedure of solution procedure on inventory models with trapezoidal type demand rate

Kevin Lim Dagatan\*

Sage Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, Shreveport, Louisiana  
5408 Interstate Dr, Shreveport, LA 71109, U.S.

*Received September 2015; Revised November 2015; Accepted December 2015*

---

**Abstract:** Recently, a paper provided an improvement for a previous article to offer a new solution procedure for inventory model with trapezoidal type demand. The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we will point out that the improvement did not provide any new results for the solution procedure. Second, we present a previous published finding to dramatically simplify the solution method. Our results will help practitioners understand the results of two previous papers.

**Keyword** — Inventory, deteriorating items, trapezoidal type demand rate.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Hill (1995) is the first paper to develop inventory model with ramp type demand. Following his trend, there are 55 papers that have developed inventory models. We may classify them as follows. Zhou (2003), Agrawal and Banerjee (2011), and Skouri and Konstantaras (2013) studied for two-warehouse inventory model. Wu, (2001), Giri et al. (2003), Chen et al. (2006), Skouri et al. (2009), Skouri et al. (2011a), and Ahmed et al. (2013) developed for general deterioration rate. Cheng and Wang (2009), Cheng et al. (2011), Chung (2012), Chuang et al. (2013), Dem et al. (2014), Debata et al. (2015), Lin, K. P. (2013), Mehrotr (2013), and Zhao (2014) consider inventory models with trapezoidal type demand rate. Darzanou and Skouri (2011), Saha et al. (2013), Singh and Sharma (2013), and Sah and Sarmah (2015), examined model with two echelon supply chain. Hariga (1996), Wu et al. (1999), Deng et al. (2007), Hung (2011), Khedlekar et al. (2013), Konstantaras and Skouri (2009), Mandal, B. (2010), Mingbao and Bixi (2010), and Chauhan and Singh (2015), considered for general deteriorating items. Jaggi et al. (2015), Kumar et al. (2012), and Mahata and Goswami (2009) constructed models under fuzzy environment. Zhou et al. (2004), Lin, S. W. (2011), and Roy and Chaudhuri (2011), investigated inventory system with a finite time horizon. The other papers can be describes as Abdul and Murata (2011a) with set-up adjustment, and Chauhan and Singh (2014) for discounted cash flow, Andriolo et al. (2013) with sustainability, Diponegoro and Sarker (2002), and Diponegoro and Sarker (2007) with batch sizes, Banerjee and Sharma (2010) with option to change the market, Abdul and Murata (2011a) under unknown time horizon, Goyal et al. (2013) for ameliorating items, Lin et al. (2013) for a demand independent inventory model, Abdul and Murata (2011c) under inflation, Sharma (2009), and Lin, Y. (2013) with pricing strategy, Lin et al. (2012) under stock-dependent consumption rate, Wou, (2010) with a stochastic demand, Skouri et al. (2011b), and Tung et al. (2014) under permissible delay in payments, Tung (2013) for negative exponentially distributed changing point. At last, Andriolo et al. (2014) is a review for Harris's inventory model.

In this article, we pay attention to Cheng and Wang (2009) that extended the ramps type demand rate of Hill (1995) to trapezoidal type demand rate. The trapezoidal type demand rate increases with time up to certain time and then ultimately stabilizes and becomes constant, and finally the demand rate approximately decreases to constant or zero, and then begins the next replenishment cycle. In practice, Cheng and Wang (2009) indicate that such type of demand rate is quite realistic and useful. Although the inventory model of Cheng and Wang (2009) is interesting, they derive the solution procedure consisting of three properties and one theorem to locate the optimal solution of their model such that their solution procedure seems rather complicated. So, the main purpose of this paper not only incorporates but also simplifies the solution procedure of Cheng and Wang (2009). Chung (2012) published a paper to provide a correct procedure of the solution procedure on inventory models with trapezoidal type demand rate proposed by Cheng and Wang (2009). The purpose of this paper is first to point out that Chung did not provide any new discovery such that his correct procedure already published in Cheng and Wang (2009). Second, we will recall the finding of Lin (2011) that already provided a new and simplified approach to improve the solution procedure of Cheng and Wang (2009).

---

\* Corresponding author's email: kevindagatan@gmail.com

**2. REVIEW OF CHENG AND WANG (2009) AND CHUNG (2012)**

We will briefly review the findings of Cheng and Wang (2009) and Chung (2012). The detailed derivation, please refer to their original papers.

The trapezoidal type demand rate is defined as

$$D(t) = \begin{cases} a_1 + b_1t, & 0 \leq t \leq \mu_1 \\ D_0, & \mu_1 \leq t \leq \mu_2 \\ a_2 - b_2t, & \mu_2 \leq t \leq T \leq a_2/b_2 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mu_1$  is the time point changing from linearly increasing demand to constant demand and  $\mu_2$  is the time point changing from constant demand to linearly decreasing demand, with  $a_1 + b_1\mu_1 = D_0 = a_2 - b_2\mu_2$ .

The inventory model discussed in this paper is the same as that of Cheng and Wang (2009). Using the notation and assumptions adopted by Cheng and Wang (2009), we have three cases to be explored as follows:

Case 1:  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \mu_1$ . Cheng and Wang (2009) revealed that the average total cost per unit time  $C_1(t_1)$  on  $[0, \mu]$  can be given by

$$C_1(t_1) = \frac{1}{T}[A_0 + c_1D_{1T} + c_2H_{1T} + c_3B_{1T}], \tag{2}$$

where

$$D_{1T} = \left(\frac{a_1}{\theta} + \frac{b_1}{\theta^2}\right)(e^{\theta t_1} - 1) + \frac{b_1}{\theta}t_1e^{\theta t_1} - a_1t_1 - \frac{b_1}{2}t_1^2, \tag{3}$$

$$H_{1T} = \left(\frac{a_1 + b_1t}{\theta} + \frac{b_1}{\theta^3}\right)(e^{\theta t_1} - 1) - \frac{a_1\theta - b_1}{\theta^2}t_1 - \frac{b_1}{2\theta}t_1^2, \tag{4}$$

and

$$B_{1T} = \frac{a_1}{2}(t_1 - \mu_1)(t_1 + \mu_1 - 2T) + \frac{b_1}{6}(2t_1^3 - 2\mu_1^3 + 3Tt_1^2) + \frac{a_1}{2}(\mu_2 - T)^2 + \frac{b_2}{6}(3T\mu_2^2 - T^3 - 2\mu_2^3) + \frac{D_0}{2}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 - 2T). \tag{5}$$

Equation (2) yields the first-order derivatives of  $C_1(t_1)$  with respect to  $t_1$  as follows:

$$\frac{dC_1(t_1)}{dt_1} = \left[\left(c_1 + \frac{c_1}{\theta}\right)(e^{\theta t_1} - 1) + c_3(t - T)\right](a_1 + b_1t_1). \tag{6}$$

Case 2:  $\mu_1 \leq t_1 \leq \mu_2$ . Cheng and Wang (2009) revealed that the average total cost per unit time  $C_2(t_1)$  on  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]$  can be given by

$$C_2(t_1) = \frac{1}{T}[A_0 + c_1D_{2T} + c_2H_{2T} + c_3B_{2T}], \tag{7}$$

Where

$$D_{2T} = \frac{D_0}{\theta^2}e^{\theta t_1} - \frac{b_1}{\theta^2}e^{\theta \mu_1} + \frac{b_1}{\theta^2} - \frac{a_1}{\theta} - D_0t_1 + \frac{b_1}{2}\mu_1^2, \tag{8}$$

$$H_{2T} = \frac{D_0}{\theta^2}e^{\theta t_1} - \frac{b_1}{\theta^3}e^{\theta \mu_1} + \frac{b_1}{\theta^3} - \frac{a_1}{\theta^2} - \frac{D_0}{\theta}t_1 + \frac{b_1}{2\theta}\mu_1^2, \tag{9}$$

And

$$B_{2T} = \frac{D_0}{2}(\mu_2 - t_1)^2 + \frac{a_2}{2}(T - \mu_2)^2 + \frac{b_2}{6}(3T\mu_2^3 - T^3 - 2\mu_2^3) + D_0(\mu_2 - t_1)(T - \mu_2). \tag{10}$$

Equation (7) yields the first-order derivatives of  $C_2(t_1)$  with respect to  $t_1$  as follows:

$$\frac{dC_2(t_1)}{dt_1} = \frac{D_0}{T}\left[\left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\theta}\right)(e^{\theta t_1} - 1) + c_3(t - T)\right]. \tag{11}$$

Case 3:  $\mu_1 \leq t_1 \leq T \leq \frac{a_2}{b_2}$ . Cheng and Wang (2009) revealed that the average total cost per unit time  $C_3(t_1)$  on  $[\mu_1, T]$  can be given by

$$C_3(t_1) = \frac{1}{T}[A_0 + c_1 D_{3T} + c_2 H_{3T} + c_3 B_{3T}], \quad (12)$$

where

$$D_{3T} = \frac{[\theta(a_2 - b_1 t_1) + b_2]e^{\theta t_1} - b_1 e^{\theta \mu_1} - b_2 e^{\theta \mu_2} + b_1 - a_1 \theta}{\theta^2} + \frac{b_0}{2} \mu_1^2 - a_2 t_2 + \frac{b_2}{2} (t_1^2 + \mu_2^2). \quad (13)$$

and

$$H_{3T} = \frac{[\theta(a_2 - b_1 t_1) + b_2]e^{\theta t_1} - b_1 e^{\theta \mu_1} - b_2 e^{\theta \mu_2} + b_1 - a_1 \theta}{\theta^3} + \frac{b_1}{2\theta} \mu_1^2 - \frac{a_2}{\theta} t_1 + \frac{b_2}{2\theta} (t_1^2 + \mu_2^2), \quad (14)$$

And

$$B_{3T} = \frac{a_2}{2} (T - \mu_1)^2 + \frac{b_2}{2} t_1^2 (T - t_1) + \frac{b_2}{6} (t_1^3 - T^3). \quad (15)$$

Equation (12) yields the first-order derivatives of  $C_3(t_1)$  with respect to  $t_1$  as follows:

$$\frac{dC_3(t_1)}{dt_1} = \frac{a_2 - b_2 t_1}{T} [(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\theta})(e^{\theta t_1} - 1) + c_3(t_1 - T)]. \quad (16)$$

Cheng and Wang (2009) derived the annual total cost per unit time  $C(t_1)$  on  $[0, T]$  as follows

$$C(t) = \begin{cases} C_1(t_1), & \text{if } 0 \leq t_1 \leq \mu_1 \\ C_2(t_1), & \text{if } \mu_1 \leq t_1 \leq \mu_2 \\ C_3(t_1), & \text{if } \mu_2 \leq t_1 \leq T \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Cheng and Wang derived that

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} C_1(t_1) = \frac{a_1 + b_1 t_1}{T} f(t_1) \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} C_2(t_1) = \frac{D_0}{T} f(t_1) \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} C_3(t_1) = \frac{a_2 - b_2 t_1}{T} f(t_1) \quad (20)$$

$0 < t_1 < T$  where  $f(t_1) = \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\theta}\right)(e^{\theta t_1} - 1) + c_3(t_1 - T)$  for  $0 \leq t_1 \leq T$ .

Cheng and Wang (2009) and Chung (2012) both agreed that

$$f(t_1) = 0 \quad (21)$$

has a unique solution for  $0 < t_1 < T$ . In Cheng and Wang (2009) the solution is denoted by  $t_1^*$  and in Chung (2012), the solution is denoted by  $t_f^*$ .

We recalled the Property 1 of Cheng and Wang (2009). They mentioned that  $C_1(t_1)$  obtains its minimum at  $t_1 = t_1^*$ , if  $t_1^* < \mu_1$ , and on the other hand,  $C_1(t_1)$  obtains its minimum at  $t_1 = \mu_1$ , if  $t_1^* \geq \mu_1$ .

To compatible with Cheng and Wang (2009) and Chung (2012), we will use the expression,  $t_f^*$ , for the solution of  $f(t_1) = 0$ .

**3. DISCUSSION OF PROOF PROCEDURE OF CHENG AND WANG (2009) AND CHUNG (2012)**

We can simply the derivation of Cheng and Wang (2009) as follows:

$$\text{optimal solution of } C_1(t_1) = \min\{t_f^*, \mu_1\}. \tag{22}$$

Chung (2012) asserted that the validity of Property 1 of Cheng and Wang (2009) is questionable. We quoted his explanation “it is concluded that  $t_f^*$  is only the optimal the optimal solution of  $C_1(t_1)$  on  $[0, T]$  but it is not necessary the optimal solution  $t_1^*$  (Remark. In Chung (2012), he used of  $t_1^*$  as the optimal solution for  $C(t_1)$ , but in Cheng and Wang (2009),  $t_1^*$  is used for the solution of  $f(t_1) = 0$ )  $C(t_1)$  on  $[0, T]$ .”

We agreed the comment of Chung (2012) that  $t_f^*$  is the optimal solution for  $C_1(t_1)$  on  $[0, T]$ , but the domain of  $C_1(t_1)$  is  $[0, \mu_1]$ . However, Cheng and Wang (2009) already were aware that  $t_f^*$  may be not the optimal solution for  $C_1(t_1)$ .

Cheng and Wang (2009) already derived that the optimal solution of  $C_1(t_1)$  is the smaller one of  $t_f^*$  and  $\mu_1$ . Hence, the challenge proposed by Chung (2012) to the Property 1 of Cheng and Wang (2009) is invalid. In Property 2 of Cheng and Wang (2009), they derived that

$$\text{optimal solution of } C_2(t_1) = \text{mid}\{t_f^*, \mu_1, \mu_2\}. \tag{23}$$

where  $\text{mid}\{1, 2, 3\} = 2$  and  $\text{mid}\{1, 2, 2\} = 2$  represents the middle number among the threes.

In Property 3 of Cheng and Wang (2009), they derived that

$$\text{optimal solution of } C_3(t_1) = \max\{t_f^*, \mu_2\}. \tag{24}$$

Similarly, the challenge of Properties 2 and 3 proposed by Chung (2012) are also invalid.

Chung (2012) used  $t_{11}^*$ ,  $t_{12}^*$  and  $t_{13}^*$  to denote the optimal solution of  $C_1(t_1)$ ,  $C_2(t_1)$  and  $C_3(t_1)$ , respectively.

We quoted the Eq. (16) of Chung (2012) for later discussion,

$$C(t_1^*) = \min\{C_1(t_{11}^*), C_2(t_{12}^*), C_3(t_{13}^*)\} \tag{25}$$

We must point out that the correct process proposed in Section 5 of Chung (2012) is only rewritten the above findings of equations (22-24) as follows

(i) If  $0 < t_f^* < \mu_1$ , then Chung (2012) derived

$$t_{11}^* = t_f^*, t_{12}^* = \mu_1 \text{ and } t_{13}^* = \mu_2. \tag{26}$$

(ii) If  $\mu_1 \leq t_f^* < \mu_2$ , then Chung (2012) derived

$$t_{11}^* = \mu_1, t_{12}^* = t_f^* \text{ and } t_{13}^* = \mu_2. \tag{27}$$

(iii) If  $\mu_2 \leq t_f^* < T$ , then Chung (2012) derived

$$t_{11}^* = \mu_1, t_{12}^* = \mu_2 \text{ and } t_{13}^* = t_f^*. \tag{28}$$

We quoted from Chung (2012), “The above arguments (i), (ii) and (iii) complement the key shortcoming of that Chung and Wang (2009) do not explore Eq. (16) (Remark. The Eq (16) of Chung (2012) is the equation (25) of this paper) to concretely demonstrate  $t_1^* = t_f^*$ ”.

If we compared the results of equations (22-24) with equations (26-28) then it is apparently that the findings proposed by Chung (2012) are more tedious which contain three unnecessary expressions of  $t_{11}^*$ ,  $t_{12}^*$  and  $t_{13}^*$ .

We recalled the Theorem 1 of Cheng and Wang (2009) that they derived  $t_f^*$  is the optimal solution for  $C(t_1)$  and the minimum value is expressed as follows

$$\min C(t_1) = \begin{cases} C_1(t_f^*), & \text{if } 0 < t_f^* \leq \mu_1 \\ C_2(t_f^*), & \text{if } \mu_1 \leq t_f^* \leq \mu_2. \\ C_3(t_f^*), & \text{if } \mu_2 \leq t_f^* < T \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

We recalled the Theorem 1 of Chung (2012) then he derived that the optimal replenishment time of  $C(t_1)$  is  $t_f^*$ .

If we compared the Theorem 1 of Cheng and Wang (2009) and the Theorem 1 of Chung (2012), then Cheng and Wang (2009) derived an explicit result. From the above discussion, we can claim that Chung (2012) did not provide any improvement for Cheng and Wang (2009).

#### 4. THE PRESENT DEVELOPMENT FOR INVENTORY MODEL WITH A TRAPEZOIDAL TYPE DEMAND RATE

Chung (2012) did not pay attention to the open question proposed by Cheng and Wang (2009) why Deng et al. (2007) with ramp type demand rate and Cheng and Wang (2009) with trapezoidal type demand rate could have the same optimal solution?

In Lin (2011), motivated by equations (18-20), he rewrote them as a simplified expression as

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} C_j(t_1) = \frac{D(t_1)}{T} f(t_1) \quad (30)$$

and then he developed an inventory model for any positive demand such that the optimal solution is independent of the demand type.

Cheng and Wang (2009) must divide their inventory model into three different sub-domains of  $[0, \mu_1]$ ,  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]$  and  $[\mu_2, T]$  because the differential equations have different expressions in three different sub-domains. Lin (2011) considered abstract demand in his development to obtain the total cost,  $C(t_1)$ , for the replenishment cycle  $[0, T]$  and then he obtained

$$\frac{d}{dt_1} C(t_1) = \frac{D(t_1)}{T} f(t_1) \quad (31)$$

Based on the findings of  $C(t_1)$ , it is the synthesized results for  $C_j(t_1)$  of  $j = 1, 2, 3$  with different corresponding sub-domains. Therefore, there is only one objective function so there should have only one expression for the first derivative. Consequently, equations (18-20) has a unified expression of equation (30) is answered. Moreover, the open question raised in Cheng and Wang (2009), why two different inventory models, Deng et al. (2007) and Cheng and Wang (2009), could have the same optimal solution also has a logical explanation that this kind of inventory models should be developed without relating to the demand type.

Finally, Chung (2012) mentioned “in supply chain management” in his title. However, there is only one item and one replenishment cycle in his paper such that his title contained questionable descriptions.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

We pointed out that Chung (2012) did not realize the results of Cheng and Wang (2009) and then he derived the same findings as them. Lin (2011) already provided a generalization of Cheng and Wang (2009) that explained (a) three objective functions with different sub-domains could have the same expression of the first derivation and (b) why different inventory models of ramp demand type and trapezoidal type demand rate could have the same optimal solution.

#### REFERENCES

1. Abdul, I. and Murata, A. (2011a). A fast-response production-inventory model for deteriorating seasonal products with learning in set-ups. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, Vol. 2, No. 4: 715-736.
2. Abdul, I. and Murata, A. (2011a). An inventory model for deteriorating items with varying demand pattern and unknown time horizon. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, Vol. 2, No. 1: 61-86.
3. Abdul, I. and Murata, A. (2011c). Optimal production strategy for deteriorating items with varying demand pattern under inflation. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, Vol. 2, No. 3: 449-466.
4. Agrawal, S. and Banerjee, S. (2011). Two-warehouse inventory model with ramp-type demand and partially backlogged shortages. *International Journal of Systems Science*, Vol. 42, No. 7: 1115-1126.

5. Ahmed, M. A., Al-Khamis, T. A. and Benkherouf, L. (2013). Inventory models with ramp type demand rate, partial backlogging and general deterioration rate. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 219 No. 9: 4288-4307.
6. Andriolo, A., Battini, D., Gamberi, M., Sgarbossa, F. and Persona, A. (2013). The EOQ theory and next steps towards sustainability. Paper presented at the IFAC Proceedings Volumes: 1708-1713.
7. Andriolo, A., Battini, D., Grubbström, R. W., Persona, A. and Sgarbossa, F. (2014). A century of evolution from Harris's basic lot size model: Survey and research agenda. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 155: 16-38.
8. Banerjee, S. and Sharma, A. (2010). Inventory model for seasonal demand with option to change the market. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 59, No. 4: 807-818.
9. Chauhan, A. and Singh, A. P. (2015). A note on the inventory models for deteriorating items with Verhulst's model type demand rate. *International Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 22, No. 2: 243-261.
10. Chauhan, A. and Singh, A. P. (2014). Optimal replenishment and ordering policy for time dependent demand and deterioration with discounted cash flow analysis. *International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research*, Vol. 6, No. 4: 407-436.
11. Chen, L., Ouyang, L. and Teng, J. (2006). On an EOQ model with ramp type demand rate and time dependent deterioration rate. *International Journal of Information and Management Sciences*, Vol. 17, No. 4: 51-66.
12. Cheng, M. and Wang, G. (2009). A note on the inventory model for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand rate. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 56, No. 4: 1296-1300.
13. Cheng, M., Zhang, B. and Wang, G. (2011). Optimal policy for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand and partial backlogging. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, Vol. 35, No. 7: 3552-3560.
14. Chuang, K., Lin, C. and Lan, C. (2013). Order policy analysis for deteriorating inventory model with trapezoidal type demand rate. *Journal of Networks*, 8(8), 1838-1844. doi:10.4304/jnw.8.8.1838-1844
15. Chung, K. (2012). The correct process of arguments of the solution procedure on the inventory model for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand rate in supply chain management. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, Vol. 25, No. 11: 1901-1905.
16. Darzanou, G. and Skouri, K. (2011). An inventory system for deteriorating products with ramp-type demand rate under two-level trade credit financing. *Advances in Decision Sciences*, 2011
17. Debata, S., Acharya, M. and Samanta, G. C. (2015). An inventory model for perishable items with quadratic trapezoidal type demand under partial backlogging. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, Vol. 6, No. 2: 185-198.
18. Dem, H., Singh, S. R. and Kumar, J. (2014). An EPQ model with trapezoidal demand under volume flexibility. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, Vol. 5, No. 1: 127-138.
19. Deng, P. S., Lin, R. H. and Chu, P. (2007). A note on the inventory models for deteriorating items with ramp type demand rate. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 178, No. 1: 112-120.
20. Diponegoro, A. and Sarker, B. R. (2002). Determining manufacturing batch sizes for a lumpy delivery system with trend demand. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 77, No. 2: 131-143.
21. Diponegoro, A. and Sarker, B. R. (2007). Operations policy for a supply chain system with fixed-interval delivery and linear demand. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 58, No. 7: 901-910.
22. Giri, B. C., Jalan, A. K. and Chaudhuri, K. S. (2003). Economic order quantity model with Weibull deterioration distribution, shortage and ramp-type demand. *International Journal of Systems Science*, Vol. 34, No. 4: 237-243.
23. Goyal, S. K., Singh, S. R. and Dem, H. (2013). Production policy for ameliorating/deteriorating items with ramp type demand. *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 6, No. 4: 444-465.
24. Hariga, M. (1996). Optimal EOQ models for deteriorating items with time-varying demand. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 47, No. 10: 1228-1246.
25. Hill, R. M. (1995). Inventory models for increasing demand followed by level demand. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 46, No. 10: 1250–1259.
26. Hung, K. (2011). An inventory model with generalized type demand, deterioration and backorder rates. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 208, No. 3: 239-242.
27. Jaggi, C. K., Pareek, S., Goel, S. K. and Nidhi. (2015). An inventory model for deteriorating items with ramp type demand under fuzzy environment. *International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management*, Vol. 22, No. 4: 436-463.
28. Khedlekar, U. K., Shukla, D. and Chandel, R. P. S. (2013). Logarithmic inventory model with shortage for deteriorating items. *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, Vol. 23, No. 3: 431-440.
29. Konstantaras, I. and Skouri, K. (2009). Order level inventory models for deteriorating seasonable/fashionable products with time dependent demand and shortages. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2009.
30. Kumar, R. S., De, S. K. and Goswami, A. (2012). Fuzzy EOQ models with ramp type demand rate, partial backlogging and time dependent deterioration rate. *International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research*, Vol. 4, No. 5: 473-502.
31. Lin, J., Chao, H. and Julian, P. (2013). A demand independent inventory model. *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, Vol. 23, No. 1: 129-135.

32. Lin, J., Chao, H. C. J. and Julian, P. (2012). Improved solution process for inventory model with ramp-type demand under stock-dependent consumption rate. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, Vol. 29, No. 4: 219-225.
33. Lin, K. P. (2013). An extended inventory models with trapezoidal type demands. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 219, No. 24: 11414-11419.
34. Lin, S. W. (2011). Inventory models with managerial policy independent of demand. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 211, No. 3: 520-524.
35. Lin, Y. (2013). Supply chain pricing strategy for short-lived ramp-type demand models doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.284-287.3696
36. Mahata, G. C. and Goswami, A. (2009). A fuzzy replenishment policy for deteriorating items with ramp type demand rate under inflation. *International Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 5, No. 3: 328-348.
37. Mandal, B. (2010). An EOQ inventory model for Weibull distributed deteriorating items under ramp type demand and shortages. *OPSEARCH*, Vol. 47, No. 2: 158-165.
38. Mehrotra, K. (2013). A volume flexible inventory model with trapezoidal demand under inflation. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics and Operation Research*, Vol. 9, No. 4: 427-440.
39. Mingbao, C. and Bixi, Z. (2010). A note on optimal policy for deteriorating items with trapezoidal type demand and partial backlogging. Paper presented at the 2010 International Conference on Management and Service Science, MASS 2010, doi:10.1109/ICMSS.2010.5577755
40. Roy, T. and Chaudhuri, K. (2011). A finite time horizon EOQ model with ramp-type demand rate under inflation and time-discounting. *International Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 11, No. 1: 100-118.
41. Saha, S., Das, S. and Basu, M. (2013). Co-ordinating two echelon supply chain under trapezoidal type and unit selling price sensitive demand rate. *International Journal of Operations and Quantitative Management*, Vol. 19, No. 1: 25-37.
42. Saha, S. and Sarmah, S. P. (2015). Supply chain coordination under ramp-type price and effort induced demand considering revenue sharing contract. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 32, No. 2, doi:10.1142/S0217595915500049
43. Sharma, S. (2009). A method to exchange the demand of products for cost improvement. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 45, No. 3-4: 382-388.
44. Singh, S. R. and Sharma, S. (2013). A global optimizing policy for decaying items with ramp-type demand rate under two-level trade credit financing taking account of preservation technology. *Advances in Decision Sciences*, 2013 doi:10.1155/2013/126385
45. Skouri, K. and Konstantaras, I. (2013). Two-warehouse inventory models for deteriorating products with ramp type demand rate. *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization*, Vol. 9, No. 4: 855-883.
46. Skouri, K., Konstantaras, I., Manna, S. K. and Chaudhuri, K. S. (2011a). Inventory models with ramp type demand rate, time dependent deterioration rate, unit production cost and shortages. *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 191, No. 1: 73-95.
47. Skouri, K., Konstantaras, I., Papachristos, S. and Ganas, I. (2009). Inventory models with ramp type demand rate, partial backlogging and Weibull deterioration rate. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 192, No. 1: 79-92.
48. Skouri, K., Konstantaras, I., Papachristos, S. and Teng, J. (2011b). Supply chain models for deteriorating products with ramp type demand rate under permissible delay in payments. *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 38, No. 12: 14861-14869.
49. Tung, C. (2013). A note on the inventory models with ramp type demand of negative exponentially distributed changing point. *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, Vol. 30, No. 1: 15-19.
50. Tung, C., Deng, P. S. and Chuang, J. P. C. (2014). Note on inventory models with a permissible delay in payments. *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, Vol. 24, No. 1: 111-118.
51. Wou, Y., (2010). Note on inventory model with a stochastic demand doi:10.1007/978-3-642-16693-8\_28
52. Wu, J., Tan, B., Lin, C. and Lee, W. (1999). An EOQ inventory model with ramp type demand rate for items with Weibull deterioration. *International Journal of Information and Management Sciences*, Vol. 10, No. 3: 41-51.
53. Wu, K. (2001). An EOQ inventory model for items with Weibull distribution deterioration, ramp type demand rate and partial backlogging. *Production Planning and Control*, Vol. 12, No. 8: 787-793.
54. Zhao, L. (2014). An inventory model under trapezoidal type demand, Weibull-distributed deterioration, and partial backlogging. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2014 doi:10.1155/2014/747419
55. Zhou, Y. (2003). A multi-warehouse inventory model for items with time-varying demand and shortages. *Computers and Operations Research*, Vol. 30, No. 14: 2115-2134.
56. Zhou, Y., Lau, H. and Yang, S. (2004). A finite horizon lot-sizing problem with time-varying deterministic demand and waiting-time-dependent partial backlogging. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 91, No. 2: 109-119.